G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

404—11

File No. 6

1913.

Serial Nos. 2

(Smuarai)

Later references.

47-48-1+b.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2 to 5

Part B for March 1915

SUBJECT. Restoration of the temple of Sri Ramanadevaru at Begnivalli in Cuddapah

---

Shimoga Dt No 466 dt 22 5 14

- Forwarding Sl for enquiry & opinion

" " " Shimoga Dt 1542 dt 8 9 14

Ps prior to re restoration & management of the Inam.

" " Shimoga 228 dt 30.10 14

- Further opinion re restoration to Shimoga Dt Pshatta

GPB—3,500—21-7-14

Christian and Other

VII

1913.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Murznai)

NOTES.

File No. 6 3-44

FILE HEADING.

Management of the Temple of Sri Gangaiswaraswamy devaru at Begunalli in the Hirihalli Taluk.

From

Thimmanna Bhatta of Bilimane, Hirihalli Taluk

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 25-5-14 & 26-5-14 Received

Diary Nos. 2256 2443	Enclos	Spare Copies	Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that the Temple Valwab, the inam lands and the accumulated saving may be given to his possession

NOTES AND ORDERS.

open under

(1) The review petition no 2256 is addressed to the 1st member and has been received in the Branch with the following order:—
"Murznai, Please put up references"
Murz. J. nos 113 of 1908, 497-08 and 402-11

G1 B—35,000—15 6 12

Serial No.

are put up and the facts of the case are given at length in the office notes contained therein.

The petr states as follows:—

The Dy. Commr directed that in case the petr agreed to execute an ekrar muchalika before the Taluk Amildar stating that he will deposit with Govt one-half of the net surplus income of the temple after meeting the cost of services as per fixed scale and after paying the Govt dues, and that he will furnish proper accounts to the Taluk cutcherry, the temple valivah would be restored to him, that the present lessee Manjappa could not be dispossessed of the lands, and that ~~after~~ within one year, if the lands are not brought to a sound condition, the question (of the cancellation of the present lease) will receive consideration (vide Govt no. 98 Dy 8.8.1913)

The petr prayed the Dy. Commr for a revision of the above order, but no endorsement was issued to him. He has appealed to Govt. He contends that it is opposed to law and justice

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11 13

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 681 of 1913

Serial No.

to direct him to execute another Muchalika, to ask him to reside in the village and to deposit one-half of the net surplus, and to keep him out of the accumulated net saving. He prays that on a perusal of the memo of points submitted by him, the temple Valivat may be restored to him. In his review-petition to the 1st member, while giving the facts of the case, the petr states that he has spent large sums for the improvement of the temple land. He ~~requests~~ wants to know what scale of expe has been sanctioned by Govt and requests that the net surplus income may be made over to ~~his possession~~ him for his maintenance, that the question of future lease to him may be settled now alone and that the accumulated saving may be made over to his possession.

The enclosures to the petitions consist ~~of~~ mostly of copies of Sndts issued from this office and the Dy Commr's office.

In Govt order of 10th November 1908 the temple of Sri Sangameswara Devaru

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11 13

Serial No.

and its endowments were taken under-Govt management, and a scale of expenditure amounting to As 179-4-0 against an estimated income of As 309-4-0 was sanctioned, leaving a saving of As 132 in favour of the institution.

Under Govt order of 11th July 1911, the order of 10-11-1908 was modified and the petr was re-instated as manager on condition of managing the instn properly under the supervision of the local muzrai authority, and also properly accounting for the income thereof.

In Govt order of 5th March 1912, it was stated that if the lease could be legally cancelled or the lessee agreed to give up possession, the Dy Commr might hand over possession to the petr, otherwise the petr could only collect rent as long as the lease lasted. It was also stated that if the petr were to get possession of the garden, the Dy Commr might fix rent to be paid by him, regard being had to his allegations about having improved it at ~~considerable expense~~ his own cost.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 687 of 1913

5

Serial No.

In Govt order of 23d August 1912, it was observed that as the lessee did not agree to give up possession of lands, petitioner must content himself with collecting rent according to G.O of 5th March 1912 – It was directed that full scale of expes for worship should be adhered to and the Dy Commr's recommendation that during the currency of the lease, the petr may be paid manager of the temple as a remuneration of ½ net surplus or Rs 65/- per annum was sanctioned –

In this office No 114 Dy 17th July 1913, the Dy Commr's recommendation to give one year's time to the lessee to see to the improvement of the lands endowed to the temple was accepted;

Submitted for orders

AN (115)
2.7.1914

A.V.

Subd to Ld. member

The last series of petitions from Meinmanna Bhatta was disposed of by giving him an extract

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 687 of 1913.

Serial No.

endorsement. Stating that more concessions than he deserved have been shown to him and that he cannot expect any further revision of the orders already passed. This was on 17th July 1912.

He has now begun a new series on practically the same grounds and for the same reliefs which are summarised by himself as follows

1. Lease of lands to strangers to be cancelled
2. He is not bound to incur the scale of expenditure fixed by Govt. and that the temple is only entitled to expenditure up to the value of the assessment on the lands viz Rs 135-5.
3. He is not bound to enter into any new Muchalika which is obsolete.
4. The accumulations and the properties should be handed over to him.

As regards item 1. one year's time to the lessee was granted by Govt. at the recommendation of the Dy Comr. The year is now over and the Dy Comr has to satisfy himself that the improvement suggested has been brought about. This is a very time in which

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 681 of 1913.

Serial No.

the Dy Commr. is the best judge.

Item 2 has already been disposed of by the G.O. of 23rd Aug. 1912 and the petitioner should not be allowed to reopen it, particularly as the Govt. are not prepared to accede to the ~~action~~ order that Nirmamma Bhattar retains also a hereditary interest in the board.

Item 3 is a matter of detail. It seems to me that it is absolutely necessary that Nirmamma Bhattar should know where exactly he stands and what are his obligations to the temple and to Govt. Otherwise the trouble may have to be faced all over again. The point at issue is whether Nirmamma Bhattar is the absolute owner of the temple and its properties or only its manager liable to account for its income and subject to the control of the officers of Govt. An unmistakeable understanding should be entered into before Nirmamma Bhattar is put in possession.

As regards item 4, there cannot be any objection to restore the temple and properties except the lands already in possession of another under a lease but it is not clear what lands he is entitled

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No

to the accumulations, if the Pujaries not attended to him during the period. Apparently he has not been managing the properties. As a matter of conscience his pay as Valivaldar maybe passed for the date after Govt. order of August 1912.

It does not appear to me that Mr. Mannamma Shetter is entitled to any further relief unless ~~[illegible]~~ his position as regards the temple is considered to be higher than that of a manager. If necessary the petitioner maybe given a hearing before the case is disposed of.

The vernacular records have not been called for as there is so far nothing in the petition needs a [illegible]

The Petr is before me and represents that the orders of Govt have not been carried out, and that he has been asked to give Murchalka with new terms.

The temple is said to be in a jungly place. If so, having

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(G R S 12)

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. of 191 .

Serial No.

there is said to be impossible. This may be relaxed. The question of continuing or discontinuing the present base may also be finally decided. The question of absolving the man from night worship may also be relaxed if the temple is inconveniently situated for access ~~inaccessible~~ at nights.

With these remarks, the petition may be sent to the Dy Cr for disposal.

13/8/14

Submd. with Dft

AV (115)
15.8.1914 Put up clean copy of the English draft.

Typed clean Dft Submd
AV (115)
17.8.1914

Cr. Mr. member
17.8

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(G R S 12)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mus File No. 651 of 1913-14

Serial No.

Drafts appd by First member 18 8 14

2 To D. C. Shimoga No. 466 Dy 22d August 1914

Forwards for appeal all the petitions of Thimmanna Bhatta with a view to the question of continuing or discontinuing the present base may also be finally decided

Encl to Petnr No. 467 Dy 22 8

From, The Dy Commr, Shimoga Dt

Letter no 154 Dy 8 9 1914

Submits further report on the subject.

The Dy Commr reports that the petr's representations that the orders of Govt have not been carried out and that he was asked to give a fresh muchalika binding himself to new conditions are not true, that the petr agreed before him to execute a muchalika in accordance with the Govt order but failed to appear on the appointed day and has since been trying to get the terms of the Govt order altered.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPP—10,000—7 11 13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 651 of 1913-14.

Serial No

The Dy Commr promises to take further action as regards the lease of the temple lands, night worship and actual residence of the petr in the village

Submitted
A(2115)
11.9.1914
14.10.14

No action appears to be called for at present. Avoid further report from the Dy Cmr

4. From, the Dy Commr, Shimoga Dist
Letter no. 228/M.34 Dy 30.10.1914.

Submits further report on the subject.

The Dy. Commr reports that the conditions of actual residence and worship at nights have been withdrawn as they are stated to be impossible owing to the situation of the temple in a jungly place. With these modifications orders have been issued by him to the Amildar to hand over the management of the instn to the petr on his executing the necessary muchalika

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—5,000—26.6.11

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 651 of 1913.

Serial No.

in accordance with the previous orders of Govt. A copy of the draft Nutchalika to be executed by the petr. has been submtd. for approval.

7.g.(a)

The scale of expes. fixed in Govt. Pros. No. 191-4 dt. 10th Novr. 1908 amounts to Rs 179-4-0. A sum of Rs 9 provided for high worship has been eliminated in the present scale which is fixed at Rs 170-4-0. In this order, the ~~gross max. reported~~ income of the Temple as reported by the Dy. Commr. was noted as Rs 309-4-0 (the yield from S. No. 183 ~~in kind~~ being 26½ khandies of paddy and Rs 16 in cash, and the yield from S. No. 184 being 42 1/14 maunds of arecanut).

7.g.(b)

In the draft nutchalika, the total income is given as Rs 360-0-0 (the increase perhaps being the result of high prices of paddy and arecanut) against a total expes. of Rs 170-4-0 leaving a net saving of Rs 189-12-0. Thirumamani Bhattar has to get ½ of Rs 189-12-0 or Rs 94-14-0, while the Govt. order of 23d Aug. 1912 gives him Rs 65 per annum calculating the total income

7.g.(c)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 651 of 1913.

Serial No.

at Rs 309-4-0.

The Dy. Commr reports on personal inspection of the temple lands, that they did not appear to him to be well managed, and that the lessee who lived 20 miles away, carried on the cultivation of the garden land through a sub-tenant. That officer recommends that for reasons set forth in his letter, the present lease may be cancelled and the lands restored to Thirumanicka Bhatta.

Submitted for orders

MM(H.S.) 20.11.1911

May this recommendation of the Dy Comr. to cancel the present lease and restore the lands to the Ptn be sanctd.?

20.11.11

Yes. The scale should be revised, the lease cancelled and possession of the lands handed over. Brief carefully.

20.11.11

Submitted to the H.S.M.

Submitted with a dft. order as directed.

AM(H.S.) 19.3.1915

Submd to Hon. Member

Dft approved by Hon. Member 26.3.15

Submd to Hon. Member 24.3.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—05,000—

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.	File No. 651 of 1913.
Serial No. 5	The Dy Comr of Lucknow vide No 2102-3 Dy 31.3.15. directs the Dy Comr to proceed to cancel the current lease & take possession of the garden & leave the beeghas to Dhirmanand Bhatia as proposed in the draft minute.

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 557

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 466 Ex-file

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue 22. 8. 1914

Signed by J. Lakshmi Narasimha Rao. for Secretary to Government

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

Sir,

Adverting to this Office Memo No. 114/Muz.F.404-11-19 dated 17th July 1913, forwarding copy of an endorsement to Tudur Thimmannabhatta of Dilumande in the Tirthahalli Taluk, stating that all possible concessions have been shown to him in the matter of the management of Sri Gangaviswesvara Devaru temple, and its endowments, I am directed to state that Thimmannabhatta has been

over.

SUBJECT.

petitioning Government and has also made personal representations that the orders of Government have not been carried out and that he has been asked to give a fresh Mutchalika binding himself to new conditions

2. The petitioner also represents that the temple is situated in a jungly place, that living at the place is impossible, and that the place is inconveniently situated for access at nights. If these representations are true, the conditions of actual residence and worship at nights may be relaxed.

3. In the memo referred to above, one year's time was granted on your recommendation to the present lessee to effect the necessary improvements to the lands under the terms of the lease. This period is now over, and I am to request that the question of continuing or discontinuing the present lease may also be finally decided.

I have, &c.,

For Offg: Secretary to Govt.

2193	"	18.5.1914.
2256	"	13.5.1914.
2443	"	20.6.1914
214	"	30.7.1914
123	"	13.7.1914.
965	"	7.8.1914
1281	"	8.8.1914.

List of enclosures

4. With the above observations, the manager Bhatta's petitions as noted in the margin, are submitted for early disposal and grant of endorsement.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-6-11

శ్రీ మన్మహారాజ శ్రీ రాజమండ్రి వారి
తీవ్ర శిబిరాన్ని శ్రీమత్ జిల్లా మేజిస్ట్రేటు వారు

W.P. 467 సంఖ్య తో రవాణా చేయబడింది.
ఇనుప 651-13-2

23.8.1914

శ్రీ క్షేత్ర పట్టణానికి మాంతవద్ద
మీ బళ్ళు బిళ్ళు మాంతరిమి కలిగి
దారి శిబిరాన్ని చేరుకోగలరు

బళ్ళు మాంతరిస్తే మంద
విశ్రాంతి వరకు గ్రామం దగ్గర వది
వాటి మీద ఇనాం వారికి ఇవ్వ
ఇవి చూడ రవాణా పనికి రవాణా
పనులు రక్షణ కొరకు కొంత మేర
విశ్రాంతి శిబిరం లోపల రవాణా
వస్తే శిబిరం కి వచ్చు బాయి శ్మశాన
కొంత మేర బళ్ళ రక్షణ కలదు
కనుక ఆ బళ్ళ వద్ద వుండవలసి
వస్తే శిబిర పరిధిలో శిబిరం వారి
రక్షణ కలదు. బళ్ళ రక్షణ నిమిత్తం
బళ్ళ ఆధీనంలో వుండి వారి
రక్షణ కలదు. శిబిర పరిధిలో బళ్ళ
రక్షణ కలదు. బళ్ళ ఆధీనంలో

Diary No. 468



1914-15.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

The Offg. Secretary to Govt
Gen and Reve Depts.,
Muzrai Branch, Bangalore.

Dated 8th September 1914.

Despatched

Received

General No. 154

No. Muzr-25

Enclosure No.

Adverting to his No. 466 Muz. [illegible]
28-8-14 Reports on the restoration of the management of Sri Gangavisweswara Devaru temple at Bilmande in the Thirthahalli Taluk.

GPB—50,000—26 9 12

No. 154
ಇಮಾಜ-25
4

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.
Dated Shimoga the 8th September 1914.

From

K. Matthan, Esquire., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

D. N. Narasinga Rao, Esquire., B.A.,B.L.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
(Muzrai Branch) Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 466/ Muz. 651-13-2 dated 22nd Ultimo forwarding with certain observations eight petitions presented by Tudur Thimmanna Bhatta regarding the restoration of the management of Sri Gangavisweswara Devaru Temple at Bilmande in the Thirthahalli Taluk, I have the honour to state that the petitioner's representations that the orders of Government have not been carried out and that he was asked to give a fresh " Mutchalika " binding himself to new conditions, are not true. In fact he agreed before me to execute a uchalika in accordance with the orders passed by Government. But on the day that he had undertaken to do so, he failed to appear before me and has since been trying to get the terms of Government order altered.

2. Further actions will now be taken in the light of

the modification of previous orders indicated in para 2 of your letter under advertance.

3. Actions with reference to para 3 will be taken on receipt of the report which has been called for from the Amildar.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

S.H.R.

DIARY No. 725
16-10-15
Muzrai
651-15
L

1904-15.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

The Offg. Secretary to Govt.
Gen and Rev Depts., Bangalore
Muzrai Branch.

Dated 30th October 1914.

Despatched 30th

Received

General No. 228
No. M-71

Enclosure No. 1

In continuation of letter No. 154/Muz 25 dated 8th September 1914 states that the management of Sri Ganapavisuvanna Devaru temple at Bilmande in the Thirthahalli taluk be restored to Tudur Thimmannabhatta.

GPB—75 reams—25-5-10

No. 228
M. 34

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.
Dated Shimoga the 26th October 1914.

From

K. Matthar, Esquire., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

D.V. Narasinga Rao, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,
Offg. Secretary to the Covernment of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Muzrai Branch, Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 154/ Muz 25 dated 8th September 1914 regarding the restoration of the management of Sri Gangavisvesvara Devaru temple at Pilmande in the Thirthahalli Taluk to Tudur Timmanna Bhatta, I have the honour to state that the conditions of actual residence and worship at nights have been withdrawn as they are reported to be impossible owing to the situation of the temple in a jungly place. With these modifications, orders have been issued to the Amildar of Thirthahalli Taluk to hand over the management of the institution to the petitioner on his executing the necessary mutchalika in accordance with the terms laid down in Government order Nos: 84/Muz 497-08-9, 2102/Muz.F.404-11-6 and 334/Muz 404-11-9 dated 11th July 1911, 5th arch 1912 and 23rd August 1912 respectively. Thimmanna Bhatta has agreed before me to take up the management in terms of the orders cited above. In the scale of expenditure sanctioned in Government order No. 191-4/Muz.F.113-08-2 dated 10th November 1908, a sum of Rs 0/- was provided for the worship at nights. This item has now been eliminated in the

present scale. A copy of the draft Muchalika, which the ~~Assistant Commissioner~~ Amildar has been directed to obtain from Thimmanna bhatta is enclosed for reference and approval.

Regarding the question of continuing or discontinuing the present lease, I have the honour to report that I inspected the lands belonging to the temple on 5th March 1914 during the Jamabandi. Not having seen the lands before, I could not say whether they had deteriorated. But they did not appear to be as well managed as the other gardens in the locality. The Amildar in his report No. 314 dated 11th ultimo states as follows:-

(1) The lessee has not paid any particular attention towards the improvement of the lands, nor has he kept them as they were before, but has neglected them.

(2). He has not cultivated the lands in the way in which those that have lands of their own, or those that have obtained ~~the~~ lands on " Mulgeni tenure " do cultivate them.

It may therefore on the whole, be concluded that the terms of the lease have not been satisfactorily carried out by him. At the same time I am bound to say that the lessee has really not had a fair chance of improving this garden and doing his best for it, on account of the constant fear that the lease would be cancelled; so that there was never any incentive to put money into the garden. Besides, the lessee lives 20 miles away and has to carry on the cultivation of the garden through a sub-tenant. I daresay tha garden would be better in the possession of Thimmanna bhatta himself, as he would live near by and as he is personally interested in the affairs of the temple.

Under the circumstances above set forth, I have the honour to recommend that the lease may be cancelled and the lands

restored to the petitioner Thimmannabhatta.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

SIR.

By Registered post

Mr Y
Please let me have a note on this
5 May

14811

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ
ಸನ್ನಿಧಿಯವರಿಗೂ
ಮೈಸೂರು ಅಭಿಮಾನಿಗಳಿಗೂ

ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ, ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಮುಂತಾದ ಮೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಸರ್ವಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿಯ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಕೆಲವು ತಾಲೂಕುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಕೃಷ್ಣಾ ನದಿಯ ಉತ್ತರದ ಕಡೆಯ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳು ಬ್ರಿಟಿಷ್ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಅಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರು. ಈ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಈ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಬ್ರಿಟಿಷ್ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಸಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ಈ ಕೆಲಸ ಆಗಿದ್ದು ಅಂದಿನ ದಿನಾಂಕ 6-2-1915ನೆ ಇಸವಿ.

ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದವರು ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ ಆಜ್ಞೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ 22-4-1914ನೆ ಇಸವಿಯದು ನಂ 465ನೆ ಇಲಾಖೆ ನಂ 651-13-2 ಮೇಲಿನ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಅಪ್ರಕಾಶ್ಯ ಸೂಚನೆ ಅಭಿಮಾನಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಆಡವಾಲು ರಾಜಕೀಯ ನ್ಯಾಯ ನೈಸರ್ಗಿಕವಾದ ಅಭಿಮಾನಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕುಂಬಳಂನ್ಯಾಯ ಮಾಡಲು ಪ್ರಕಾಶಿಸಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಮವಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವರ್ತಿಸುವುದು. ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದ ಕಛೇರಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ 12-1-1915ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಲಿಖಿತ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಅಭಿಮಾನ ವಿಷಯಗಳು ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದವರಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ತಿಳಿಯತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಅಮಾಯಕರಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸಮ್ಮತಿಪಡದ ಅಭಿಮಾನಿಗಳ ಪ್ರೇರಣೆಯಿಂದ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಅಮಾಯಕರು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮೊದಲಿನ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಭಿಮಾನಿಗಳ ಪ್ರತಿಭಟನೆಯನ್ನು ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದೇ ಸಮಂಜಸವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಯಲಾಯಿತು. ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಂತೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳು ಮಾಡಿದ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿ ಸಹಕರಿಸಿ ಬಹಳ ಅಭಿಮಾನಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಆಡವಾಲು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಮಾಡಿದ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದವರು ಮೆಚ್ಚಿಕೊಂಡು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಮೆಚ್ಚಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬಹುಮಾನ ಕೊಟ್ಟರು. ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಮಾಡಿದ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳು ಮಾಡಿದ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳು ಮಾಡಿದ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳ

ಮುಕ್ತ ಸಾಲ ಬಳ್ಳಿಮಿಳಿ ರಾಗಿ ಶಿರದು ದೆಸವನ್ನುಳಿಯವರು ನಮ್ಮುಕ್ಕುಳುದ್ದಾನೆ.
ಸ್ವಕ್ಷ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ದೆಸವನೆವೆಡೆಲುಳ್ಳಿರುವ ರಾಗಿ ನಡಕೆಗಳಿರುವದಿಲ್ಲ ಅಲ್ಲಿ
ದ ರಾಗಿ ಅದಳುವ್ಯಿಯೆಳುಳ್ಳಿ ಮುಂದೆ ನಮ್ಮಕಾಡನ್ನಳಿಸಬಾಬುದಾಗಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ
ಉದ್ದನಾಗಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೆನೆ. ಅಷ್ಟಿದರೆ ಮುಸೊಕ್ಕಿನವೆಂಕೆಮುಂದಬಂದವ
ನಾದ ಮುಂಸ್ಕಾಲಮಾಂ ಮುಕ್ಕು ನಾಲ್ಕಕಿಕೆಂಕ್ರಿ ನಾಮ ಕೂಲ್ಯಕ್ಕಿಗಿದ್ದಿ ಶ್ವಮುಲ್ಲ
ಕಾಂಗಾನ್ವಮುಲ್ಲ ಮುಂಸಾದಗಿ ಕಳ್ಳಾಂ ಗ್ರಾಮಪ್ರಜೆಗಳು ಇಂಗಿಕೆ ಮುಂದೆ
ನಾಳೆ ಅಕ್ಕಾಂ ಕಳುಹಿಂಬನವೆಕೆಂಕ್ರಿ ದಯೆಯ್ಯಮಾಡಿ ಅಷ್ಟಿರಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮನ್ನು ರಕ್ಷಿಸಿ
ಮರ್ಯಾದೆಯಿಂದ-

(ಇತಿ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿಕಿಂಕರ)

COURT FEE — TWO RUPEES

1524

1914 15

SERIAL No.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ್ಗಳವರ ಸನ್ನಿಧಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ —

ಮಹಾ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ

ಶಿವಪ್ಪಯ್ಯ ತಂದೆ ಮಂ ತಮ್ಮಣ್ಣಯ್ಯ ಬಿನ ಸಗಾಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನ ಪ್ರಜೆಯಾದ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಪಕ್ಷದವರು ಉಸಾಲು

ಮಹಾಸನ್ನಿಧಿಯವರಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದ ಮನವಿ ಏನೆಂದರೆ ಅರಸು ಮುದ್ರೆಯಿಂದ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕಿಂಗಾರ್ಪಾಟಿನ

ಅಮಲು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ಬಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿಗೆ 5-3-1915 ನೇ —

ಸರ್ಕಾರ ನಿಶ್ಚಯಾನುಸಾರ ತಾ 22-8-1914 ನೆ ಮುಖ್ಯಂ ನಂ 4465 ನೆ ಯ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲಿನ
651-13-2

ಶಿಷ್ಟಾಚಾರಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನ್ಯಾಯ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಗಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಯ ಪ್ರಜೆ

ಮುಖ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸಲಹೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಕಂಮಡಲು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದರೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಶಿಷ್ಟಾಂತ ಯಜ್ಞವಿಲ್ಲದೆ

ಶಿವಪ್ಪನವರ ವರಿಂದಲು ಶಿಕಾರಿಯಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾಮಾಣಿಕರಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಕೆಲಸಮಾಡಿದೆ ಆಬಾಧ್ಯತೆಯವರ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಾದ 12-1-1915 ನು ಕ 2-1915 ನೆ ಅಂದರೆ ಮೂರ

— ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದವರು ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಲಿಲ್ಲ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ಮರ್ಯಾದೆಯಾಗಿ —

— ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನಿಗೆ ಸುತ್ತಲೂ ಅರ್ಜಿಕರ್ತನಾಗಿ ಅನುಭವದವರಿಂದ ಆಗಬೇಕಾದ ಕೆಲಸ —

ಸ್ಥಳ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ ವರಿಂದಲು ವಸ್ತುಗಳ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಮಾಸಾಲಿ

ಸಂಬಳದ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಅಂದರೆ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಾಮಾಣಿಕರಲ್ಲದೆ —

ಬದಲಿ ಸಕ್ಕೆಂಯಿಂದ ಹೊಲಗಳನ್ನು ಸಕ್ಕೆಂಯವರು ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದ ಕ್ರಯಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ

ಲಂಚವಾಗಿ ಕೊಡುವುದು — ಹೊಲಗಳನ್ನು ಬಲವಂತದಿಂದ ಕಿರಿಕಿರಿಯಿಂದ ಮೊಂಡಿಕೆ ಅಶಕ್ತರಿಗೆ ಸೊಳ್ಳೆ

ಸೊಳ್ಳೆ ಮೊಸರಿಗೆ ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಅಂದರೆ ಕಾಂಚನಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಕ್ಕೆಂಯವರು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅ

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಅಕಮೆಲೆ ಮಾಡಿದ ಕಾಂಚನಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೊಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಕುಡಿಯಾದ ಮೊಸರಿಗಳು

ತಾ 27-1-1911 ನೆ ಆಜ್ಞೆ 1, ಪ್ರಾಮಾಣಿಕರಲ್ಲದ ಮಾಜಿಸ್ಥಳಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಶೇಷವಾಗಿ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿದ

65
4497-08-10

ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಾಮಾಣಿಕರಲ್ಲದ, ಮಾಂಸದವರು, ಮಂಡಲ ಅನಿಷ್ಟಕರವಾದ, ಉಮೇದಿನ 3, ಸ್ಥಳ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾ

ನಿಗೆ ಕಾಣಿಕೆಗಳಾಗಿ ಕೊಡುವುದು ನ್ಯಾಯವಲ್ಲ ಕಾನೂನಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ —

2103
4914-11-7

2, ಕಾಂಚನಗಳ ಕಡಮೆಯಾದ ಕಾನೂನಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು —

917
4914-11-16

3, ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಯಜಮಾನರು ಯಜಮಾನಿ ಮೇಲಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಸ್ಥರು ಕಾಂಚನಗಳಿಗೆ

113
4914-11

ನಂ 1963 ನೆ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಬಾಯಿ ಉಂಟು ಹೊಸ ಪಾಲಿಸಿಕೆ ಅಂತೆ ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡಿ

ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಬಾಧೆ ಶಿಕ್ಷೆ ಮಾಡಿದ ಮಾಡಿದ ಅರ್ಥ ರದ್ದುಮಾಡಬೇಕು —

4, ಪ್ರಾಮಾಣಿಕರಿಗೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಉಂಟು ಹೊಸ ಹೊಸ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನಿಗೆ

ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕು —

Please deal with this early
17/3/15

Please have this formally in the case

VII

5, ನಾವು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಮುಖ್ಯದಿವಾಣಿಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ಆಚರಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ

ನಂ 2103 ನೆ ಮೂಲ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪ್ರಾಚೀನ ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳನ್ನು ಅಳಿಸಿ
ದಿನಾಂಕ 14-11-7

ಹಾಕಿರುವುದು — ಹಾಗೂ ಅಂತಿಮ ಮೂಲ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಾಚೀನ ಸ್ಥಾನಗಳನ್ನು ಅಳಿಸಿ
ಹಾಕಿರುವುದು — ಇದು ಸರಿಯಾದ್ದಲ್ಲದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಅಳಿಸಿ ಹಾಕಿರುವುದ

G.R.S. 28]

26/3

Passing orders on the restoration to Indur Thirumanna Bhattar of the management of Sri Gangaiconda Devaru Temple at Bilamuddi

File No. 651
Serial No 5-913

Diary No. of letter replied to 7425

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

79.8

, *the* 191 .

READ— Govt Pro. No. 191 4 / mis 113-08-2 dated 10th November 1908 directing the assumption of the management of Sri Gangaiconda Devaru Temple at Bilamuddi

Date of issue 31.5.1915

Signed by Rao Bahadur Ramachandra Rao

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

in the Tirthahalli Taluk, and fixing a scale of expenditure amounting to Rs 149-4-0 in the institution—

over.

SUBJECT.

Govt. Pro. No. 334
Misc. 404-11-11

Dy 23d August 1912 directing that the petr. Timmanna Bhatta may be appointed as the paid manager of the mutt on a remuneration of one-half net surplus or Rs 65/- per annum-

Petition regd. no. 2193 Dy 18th May 1914 and subsequent petitions from Indur Thimmanna Bhatta stating that night puja ~~cannot be done~~ and that ~~gardens are much neglected~~

Letter No. 228 Dy 26th October 1914 from the Dy. Commr, Shimoga District, stating that the garden shows signs of neglect on the part of the lessee and that the existing lease may consequently be cancelled and submitting approval a draft to be executed

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

by Thirumanna Bhatta accepting the conditions laid down by Govt for the management of the Temple.

No 2102-3 Ex
Mins 651-13-5

Order thereon:- No

D 31. 3. 1915

Under the circumstances now reported, the Dy. Commr may proceed to cancel the current lease after such legal notice as may be necessary and take over possession of the garden. The Temple properties may be leased to Indur Thirumanna Bhatta as proposed, after execution by him of a formal lease deed current for a fixed period of ~~Days~~ 15 ~~or 20~~ years.

Fg. 0

The draft kuchalitta submitted with the Dy.

Commrs. letter under reply, is approved.

Sd/ Im offg Secretary
22-3-15
19-3-15

To,

The Dy. Commr, Shimoga
Dist, with the muchalika.

" Comptr, M. G. ~~with a copy~~

24/8/15